

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,227	11/12/2003	Shuming Wu	4386 P 007	6307	
7	7590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
Jeffrey R. Ga	rgano		FULTON, CHRISTOPHER W		
Wallenstein W 53rd Floor	agner & Rockey, Ltd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
311 South Wacker Drive			2859		
Chicago, IL	Chicago, IL 60606-6630			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				X K					
	Application No).	Applicant(s)	<i>y</i>					
Office Action Commence	10/706,227		WU, SHUMING						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
	Christopher W.		2859						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cov	er sheet with the (correspondence add	ress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS C 1.136(a). In no event, ho od will apply and will expir tute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tire re SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this come ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ The									
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde				merits is					
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application	on.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-29 and 31-58</u> is/are rejected									
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 30</u> is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requi	rement.							
Application Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami									
	\boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ign priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been re	ceived.							
Certified copies of the priority docume									
Copies of the certified copies of the p			ed in this National S	Stage					
application from the International Bure									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified	copies not receiv	ed.						
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summar							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948). 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		-	Patent Application (PTO	-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/26/04&8/16/04.	8-16-04								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 25-29, 31-33, 46, 48, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Green with a housing having a base 16 with magnets 18, a laser generator 38 disposed within the housing for projecting a laser beam through an opening with the laser generator being rotatably coupled in the housing for rotating the laser beam from 0 degrees to 90 degrees with respect to the bottom of the housing by a rotating knob 50, a bubble level 30,26 on the housing, and a switch 66 to turn the laser generator on and off.

3. Claims 35, 46, 47, 49, 50, 54-56, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Lee with a housing 2,3, a laser generator 61 disposed within the housing for projecting a laser beam through an opening with the laser generator being rotatably coupled to a baseplate 8 which is removably attached to the housing, the laser beam being rotatable from 0 degrees to 90 degrees with respect to the bottom of the baseplate, the laser beaming being fan shaped, and a switch to turn the laser generator on and off.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/706,227

Art Unit: 2859

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of Malard et al ('879).

The device as claimed is substantially disclosed by Green as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 25-29, 31-33, 46, 48, and 55, but lacks a retractable door over the opening which also acts as the switch for the laser. Malard et al teaches using a retractable door 20 to protect the laser and lens and to act as the switch to power the laser when open and to turn off the laser when the door is shut. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a retractable door to Green as taught by Malard et al ('879) to protect the lens and laser while also working a the switch to control the laser.

6. Claims 35, 46, 47, 49, 50, 54, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Malard et al ('879).

The device as claimed is substantially disclosed by Lee as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 35, 46, 47, 49, 50, 54-56, and 58, but lacks a retractable door over the opening which also acts as the switch for the laser. Malard et al teaches using a retractable door 20 to protect the laser and lens and to act as the switch to power the laser when open and to turn off the laser when the door is shut. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a retractable door to Lee as taught by Malard et al ('879) to protect the lens and laser while also working a the switch to control the laser.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

7. Claims 15-18, 20-24, and 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Malard et al ('879) as applied to claims 36, 37, 51-53, and 57 above, and further in view of Green.

The device as claimed is disclosed by the combination of Lee and Malard et al together as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 36, 37, 51-53, and 57, but lack the laser module being rotatable relative to the housing. Green teaches rotating the laser module relative to the housing to direct the laser at any desired direction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the laser module of the combination of Lee and Malard et al ('879) rotate relative to the housing as taught by Green to direct the laser at any desired direction.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 19 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher W. Fulton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 5:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,227 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher W. Fulton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

CWF